UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,430	05/31/2005	Thomas Meier	231942 6917	
	7590 01/19/200 Γ& MAYER, LTD	EXAMINER		
TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900			MACFARLANE, STACEY NEE	
180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60601-6731		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	1609	,
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 [DAYS	01/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/519,430	MEIER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Stacey MacFarlane	1609			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
, — ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 May 2005.					
2a) This action is FINAL .						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected		ata atta a arang tanggan				
8) Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	: (DTC 040)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1609

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions that are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1, claims 1-7, 24-26, drawn to a screening method for substances for the treatment of Friedreich's Ataxia.

Group 2, claims 8-23, drawn to methods of use for compounds for the preparation of a treatment of Friedreich's Ataxia.

The inventions listed as Groups 1 and 2 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features (See § 1850 of the MPEP). The unifying feature of the claims of the instant application is treatment of Friedreich's Ataxia. The inventions of Group 1 have as a corresponding special technical feature "reduced glutathione" content of cells. The following reference teaches this feature in conjunction with patients with Friedreich's Ataxia (Piedmonte et al. *Glutathione in blood of patients with Friedreich's ataxia*. European Journal of Clinical Investigation 31(11):1007-1011. 2001), therefore, since this corresponding special technical feature

Art Unit: 1609

does not contribute to novelty or an inventive step over the prior art, it fails to meet the unity of invention requirement under PCT Rule 13.1.

The inventions of Group 2 list one of the compounds for this purpose as selenium and the following reference teaches this use (Fryer. *Rationale for clinical trials of selenium as an antioxidant for the treatment of the cardiomyopathy of Friedreich's ataxia*. Medical Hypotheses 58(2):127-132. 2002). Therefore, since this particular technical feature does not make a contribution over the prior art it therefore lacks Unity of Invention.

Election of Species

2. This application contains claims (7-9, 11-17, and 20-22) directed to more than one species of the generic invention.

For Group I above, applicant must elect either of Idebenone or Ebselen.

For Group II above, applicant is required to elect, for claims 11-17, the chemical substituents A, X, and each of the R groups. Varying the substituent groups alters the chemical compound structurally, functionally and affects the mode of use in such a way as to make it patentably distinct. Furthermore, for claim 8, applicant must select from the group of selenium, Ebselen or GPX mimetics; and if GPX mimetics are elected a further selection is required from the list of claim 20.

Additional election of species is required for the compounds used in combination with the method of claim 8 (claims 21 and 22). For example, in claim 21 wherein it claims "use according to claim 8 wherein the seleno compound is combined with free radical scavengers and/or antioxidants ...and/or Vitamin E or derivatives thereof".

Art Unit: 1609

Applicant may elect one of these compounds. For examination purposes this list of species encompasses a scope of molecules or combination of molecules so vast as to lead to a significant burden in a search of the prior art. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1, therefore, specific molecules must be elected.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Art Unit: 1609

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stacey MacFarlane whose telephone number is (571) 272-0235. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-5:00 PM, ALT. Fridays, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mary Mosher can be reached on (571) 272-0906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1609

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ZACHARIAH LUCAS PATENT EXAMINER